

Manuscript ID:
IJEBAMPSR-2026-030124

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Month: February

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9140

Submitted: 15- Jan.-2026

Revised: 20 -Jan.-2026

Accepted: 22 - Feb.-2026

Published: 28-Feb.-2026

Address for correspondence:

Pooja Dhayalakar
Assistant Professor, Department of
Economics, Rayat Shikshan
Sanstha's Karmaveer Bhaurao
Patil College, Vashi, Navi
Mumbai
Email:
dhayalakarpoja2841994@gmail.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19217501](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19217501)

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19217501>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to Cite this Article:

Dhayalakar, P., & Waghe, P. (2026). Digital Influence and Consumer Behaviour: Economic Implications of Social Media Evolution. *International Journal of Economic, Business, Accounting, Agriculture and Management Towards Paradigm Shift in Research*, 3(1), 129–132. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19217501>

Digital Influence and Consumer Behaviour: Economic Implications of Social Media Evolution

Pooja Dhayalakar¹, Pramod Waghe²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College, Vashi, Navi Mumbai

²Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce & Accountancy, Janardan Bhagat Shikshan Prasarak Sanstha's Ramsheth Thakur College of Commerce & Science, Kharghar

Abstract

social media has transformed how consumers discover, evaluate, and purchase goods and services. Platforms' rapid technological evolution - from broadcast-style feeds to algorithmic personalization and creator-driven ecosystems - has reshaped information flows, market power, and the structure of demand. This paper synthesizes recent literature and industry data to map how digital influence affects consumer behaviour and to draw out the economic implications for firms, markets, and policy. Key findings show that (1) algorithmic personalization and recommendation systems amplify demand-concentration effects while increasing consumer surplus in some circumstances; (2) influencer and creator economies shift marketing budgets and reallocate welfare across incumbent media, firms, and content creators; and (3) the growth of social-commerce features increases impulse buying and reduces search frictions but raises concerns about trust, information asymmetry, and regulatory oversight. Policy recommendations focus on transparency, competition safeguards for platforms, and consumer education to preserve welfare as the ecosystem matures.

Keywords: Digital Influence; Consumer Behaviour; Social Media Evolution; Influencer Marketing; Algorithmic Personalization; Social Commerce; Consumer Welfare; Attention Economy; Information Asymmetry; Market Competition; Creator Economy

Introduction

In less than two decades social media platforms have moved from optional communication tools to central marketplaces for discoverability, opinion formation, and direct commerce. Consumers no longer rely only on traditional advertising or word-of-mouth; they increasingly base choices on algorithmically curated feeds, peer reviews, and endorsements from influencers and creators. This evolution matters economically because it changes how demand is formed, how firms allocate marketing resources, how prices and product variety respond to attention, and how consumer welfare is distributed across actors in the digital economy.

Literature review

A rapidly growing literature examines social media's influence on individual decision-making, market outcomes, and policy-relevant welfare effects. Grover et al. (2022) provide a comprehensive review of individual-level social media influences across organizational, market, and social contexts, documenting consistent treatments emphasize platforms' role as multi-sided markets where algorithmic personalization, targeted advertising, and recommendation systems mediate interactions between users, advertisers, and sellers.

Recent economic analyses highlight trade-offs: non-neutral recommendation algorithms can increase consumer surplus while sometimes harming social welfare or platform profit depending on incentives and market structure.

Finally, a distinct strand examines influencer marketing and the creator economy. Multiple empirical studies and industry reports document the rapid monetization of creator influence -from micro-influencers to celebrity creators - and the substantial reallocation of advertising spend toward influencer-driven campaigns.

Country-level reports (e.g., India) demonstrate rising ROI expectations from influencer collaborations and growing professionalization of creator services.

Theoretical framework: channels from social media to consumer behaviour

To understand economic outcomes, it helps to distinguish the principal channels through which social media alters consumer choices:

1. **Information & Search Frictions** - social media reduces search costs by surfacing products via feeds, reviews, and peer endorsements. Lower search frictions expand consideration sets but can also lead to superficial heuristics (e.g., popularity signals) replacing thorough product comparisons.
2. **Social Proof & Network Effects** - Visible likes, shares, and user-generated reviews serve as social proof that affects perceived product quality and risk, often amplifying demand for trending items.
3. **Algorithmic Personalization** - Recommender systems tailor content to inferred preferences, increasing engagement and relevance; this intensifies demand-concentration toward a smaller set of items while boosting click-through and conversion rates.
4. **Influencer Signalling & Endorsement** - Influencers reduce information asymmetry by providing interpreted product experiences; their persuasive power varies with credibility, audience fit, and disclosure of commercial relationships.
5. **Embedded Commerce & Instant Purchase** - Native commerce tools (in-platform shops, checkout) shorten the conversion pipeline, encouraging impulse purchases and increasing purchase frequency.

These channels interact: personalization amplifies social proof signals; embedded commerce lowers the friction that turns social signals into sales. Together they reshape both short-run consumer choices and long-run preferences via habit formation and exposure effects.

Empirical patterns and market consequences

1. Demand concentration and long-tail dynamics

Algorithmic curation tends to concentrate attention on a subset of products and creators. While platforms expand total reach, recommendation systems frequently create winner-take-most dynamics for visibility, which can raise firm incentives to optimize for platform metrics rather than product quality. Some studies show that non-neutral recommender systems can increase consumer surplus by matching users to products they value, but may reduce platform profits or social welfare in other contexts, especially when

promotional payments or biased rankings distort discovery.

2. Marketing and firm strategy shifts

Firms have reallocated budgets toward influencer partnerships, user-generated content (UGC), and native advertising. Industry analysis indicates sizable investments by global marketing groups into influencer platforms and tools, reflecting belief in higher engagement and measured ROI versus legacy media. The rise in influencer spend changes bargaining between brands and media intermediaries and introduces new market-entry strategies for small firms that can leverage creators for targeted reach.

3. Consumer welfare: gains and risks

Lower search costs, tailored recommendations, and abundant UGC can increase utility by helping consumers find products that better match preferences. However, there are risks: impulse buying and overconsumption prompted by frictionless checkout; misleading endorsements; fake reviews; and increased financial anxiety tied to aspirational content. Empirical surveys show high levels of social-commerce adoption but also persistent trust issues with information quality.

4. Labor market and creator economy effects

The creator economy creates new income streams but with uneven distribution. Large-scale brand deals and platform monetization yield high incomes for top creators, while many micro-creators generate modest revenues. Industry reports show professionalization trends - agencies, talent managers, and analytics - which institutionalize creator-market relationships and change how labour is compensated and contracted.

Economic implications in depth

1. Price discovery and competition

Social media changes price discovery: viral exposure can spike demand unpredictably, temporarily loosening price elasticity and enabling dynamic pricing tactics. Platforms can also internalize transactions (social commerce), shifting bargaining power and reducing price transparency across channels. Regulators should consider how platform-embedded sales affect competition and whether dominant platforms can leverage data to foreclose rivals.

2. Market entry and innovation incentives

For new firms, social media lowers marketing barriers - a well-crafted influencer campaign or viral post can rapidly drive adoption. This can foster innovation and market experimentation. Conversely, attention concentration and high influencer costs for top reach can impede small entrants unless they exploit niche communities or micro-influencers.

3. Consumer surplus and externalities

Algorithmic personalization often increases immediate consumer utility by surfacing relevant products, but attention-as-a-resource creates negative externalities: time spent on platforms yields opportunity costs and can magnify information bubbles. Moreover, social contagion of consumption (bandwagon effects) can drive suboptimal purchases, suggesting a nuanced welfare calculus where standard measures of consumer surplus require adaptation to attention economics.

4. Privacy, data, and regulatory trade-offs

Platform effectiveness relies on rich user data. Privacy regulations that limit data collection will change the effectiveness of personalization and targeted ads; they may increase consumer privacy but could reduce matching efficiency and lower small-firm access to precise targeting. Policymakers must balance privacy protection with ensuring competitive access to useful data for legitimate market activity.

Policy recommendations

1. **Transparency in algorithms and paid endorsements** - Require clearer labelling of sponsored content and disclosures about paid promotions; consider algorithmic explainability standards for high-impact recommendation features. Clear disclosure preserves trust and helps consumers adjust their inferences from endorsements.
2. **Competition safeguards for platforms** - Monitor platform practices that tie commerce features to algorithmic advantages (self-preferencing) and assess whether data access asymmetries hinder fair competition among sellers and creators.
3. **Consumer education and digital literacy** - Invest in programs that improve consumer understanding of how personalization and influencer endorsements work so users can better assess credibility and resist exploitative impulse mechanics.
4. **Quality and review verification** - Encourage or mandate mechanisms to reduce fake reviews and misleading product claims (e.g., provenance checks, verified-purchase badges) to lower information asymmetry and protect trust in social commerce.
5. **Support for creator labour protections** - As the creator economy professionalizes, consider guidelines for transparent contracts, dispute resolution, and income reporting to protect smaller creators from exploitative arrangements.

Limitations and directions for future research

Empirical causal evidence linking specific algorithmic features to long-term welfare outcomes remains limited because platforms guard experimental access and data. Future work should

pursue: (a) natural experiments stemming from policy changes or platform design updates; (b) rigorous measurement of attention-based welfare; and (c) cross-country comparisons that illuminate how differing regulatory regimes shape the creator economy and social-commerce adoption.

Conclusion

The social media ecosystem has reconfigured pathways of information, influence, and transaction. Algorithmic personalization, influencer marketing, and embedded commerce jointly alter consumer behaviour with wide-ranging economic consequences. Benefits include reduced search frictions, better product matches, and new entrepreneurial opportunities. Risks include demand concentration, information distortions, privacy concerns, and unequal returns within the creator economy. Policymakers, platforms, and firms must cooperatively design transparency, competition, and literacy interventions to ensure that digital influence enhances - rather than undermines - consumer welfare and market competition.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who supported and guided me in the successful completion of my project titled "Digital Influence and Consumer Behaviour: Economic Implications of Social Media Evolution."

First and foremost, I would like to thank my teacher/mentor for their valuable guidance, constant encouragement, and constructive suggestions throughout the preparation of this project. Their insights helped me understand how social media platforms influence consumer behaviour and shape modern economic trends.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Grover, P., Kar, A. K., Janssen, M., & Ilavarasan, P. V. (2022). Understanding the evolution of social media influence: A literature review. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 24(4), 1065–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10158-7>
2. Migkos, S. P., Vlachos, P. A., & Panagopoulos, N. G. (2025). The impact of influencer marketing on consumer behaviour and decision-making. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 20(2), 111–129. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20020111>
3. Acquisti, A., Taylor, C. R., & Wagman, L. (2016). The economics of privacy. *Journal of*

- Economic Literature, 54(2), 442–492.
<https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.54.2.442>
4. Shiller, R. J. (2017). Narrative economics. *American Economic Review*, 107(4), 967–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.107.4.967>
 5. Goldfarb, A., & Tucker, C. (2019). Digital economics. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 57(1), 3–43. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20171452>
 6. Tucker, C. (2014). Social networks, personalized advertising, and privacy controls. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(5), 546–562. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.10.0355>
 7. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035>
 8. EY (2024). State of influencer marketing in India. Ernst & Young LLP, India.
 9. Varian, H. R. (2019). Artificial intelligence, economics, and industrial organization. In *The Economics of Artificial Intelligence: An Agenda* (pp. 399–419). University of Chicago Press.
 10. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. New York: PublicAffairs.