Manuscript ID:
IJEBAMPSR-2026-030112

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Month: February

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9140

Submitted: 10- Jan.-2026
Revised: 15 -Jan.-2026
Accepted: 20 - Feb.-2026
Published: 28-Feb.-2026

Address for correspondence:
Savita Vaidya
Research Scholar- Chatapati Shivaji Night College of Arts &Commerce, Solapur,Assistant Professor Prin. K.P. Mangalvedhekar Institute of Management Career Development & Research
Email: savitavaidya@kpmim.org

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18810975

DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18810975

Consumer Perceptions and Trust Toward AI-Based Product Recommendations in Online Shopping Platforms: A Primary Data Study

Savita Vaidya[1] Dr. Barbole Anil[2]
[1]Research Scholar- Chatapati Shivaji Night College of Arts &Commerce, Solapur, Assistant Professor Prin. K.P. Mangalvedhekar Institute of Management Career Development & Research
[2]Research Guide,Formal Principal at Chatapati Shivaji Night College of Arts & Commerce, Solapur

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into online shopping platforms has fundamentally transformed the consumer experience, with personalized product recommendations standing out as a prominent application. While these AI-driven systems offer enhanced convenience and tailored shopping experiences, consumer trust and perceptions toward their use remain insufficiently explored, particularly within the Indian context. This empirical study seeks to fill this gap by investigating consumer perceptions and trust regarding AI-based product recommendation systems in online shopping platforms. Primary data were collected from a sample of 400 online shoppers in India using a structured questionnaire designed to assess attitudes, trust levels, and factors influencing acceptance of AI recommendations. The results indicate that consumers generally value the convenience, relevance, and time-saving aspects provided by AI-powered recommendations. However, notable concerns persist regarding data privacy, security, and the lack of transparency in algorithmic decision-making processes. Statistical analysis reveals that trust in AI recommendations is significantly influenced by the perceived usefulness of the system and positive prior experiences, while apprehensions about data privacy and opaque algorithms negatively impact trust. These findings have important implications for e-commerce platforms seeking to improve the effectiveness and user acceptance of their AI-driven recommendation systems. Addressing privacy concerns, enhancing transparency, and continuously improving the relevance of recommendations are essential strategies to foster greater consumer trust and satisfaction. This study contributes to the literature by offering valuable insights into consumer attitudes toward AI in the rapidly growing Indian e-commerce market.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Product Recommendations, Consumer Perception, Trust, Online Shopping, Primary Data*

Introduction

The rapid advancement of e-commerce has led to a fundamental shift in consumer purchasing behavior, with digital platforms becoming the primary avenue for shopping in many regions. Central to this transformation is the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), which has revolutionized the way consumers interact with online retailers. Among the various applications of AI, product recommendation systems have emerged as one of the most influential tools, providing personalized suggestions that are tailored to individual consumer preferences based on browsing patterns, previous purchases, and demographic information. These intelligent systems are designed to enhance user satisfaction, streamline the shopping process, increase sales, and foster customer loyalty by delivering relevant product options efficiently.

Despite the widespread adoption and clear commercial benefits of AI-powered recommendations, there remains a critical need to understand how consumers perceive and trust these systems. Trust plays a pivotal role in online decision-making, particularly when consumers must rely on complex algorithms whose internal workings are often opaque. As AI systems become more sophisticated, concerns regarding their accuracy, fairness, and the privacy of personal data have become increasingly prominent.

How to Cite this Article:
Vaidya, S., & Anil, B. (2026). Consumer Perceptions and Trust Toward AI-Based Product Recommendations in Online Shopping Platforms: A Primary Data Study. International Journal of Economic, Business, Accounting, Agriculture and Management Towards Paradigm Shift in Research, 3(1), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18810975

In the context of the rapidly expanding Indian e-commerce market, these issues take on added significance, given the diversity and scale of the consumer base. This study seeks to investigate consumer perceptions and trust in AI-driven product recommendation systems within Indian online shopping platforms, aiming to identify key factors that influence acceptance and satisfaction in this evolving technological landscape.

Literature Review

1. **AI in Online Shopping**
   The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within the e-commerce sector has dramatically enhanced the ability of online platforms to understand and anticipate consumer needs. AI technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, and deep learning algorithms, have empowered online retailers to analyze vast amounts of consumer data, such as browsing patterns, purchase histories, and demographic information, in order to predict preferences and behavior (Jannach et al., 2021). Among the most prominent applications of AI in this domain are product recommendation systems, which utilize these advanced analytical techniques to generate personalized product suggestions for individual users (Ricci et al., 2015). The implementation of AI-based recommendation systems has been linked to increased conversion rates, higher sales, and improved overall shopping experiences, as these systems help consumers discover relevant products more efficiently (Benbasat & Wang, 2005). By automating the process of product discovery and tailoring options to individual tastes, AI-driven recommendation engines have become an essential component of modern online shopping platforms.
2. **Consumer Perception of AI**
   Consumer perception of AI-powered systems is inherently multifaceted, shaped by factors such as perceived usefulness, ease of use, and the relevance of the recommendations provided (Gursoy et al., 2019). When AI recommendations align with consumer interests and streamline the shopping process, users tend to view these systems favorably. However, despite the benefits of personalization, some consumers have expressed reservations regarding the data collection practices underlying these technologies. Concerns about privacy, data security, and the potential misuse of personal information are prevalent and can negatively impact the perception of AI-driven recommendations (Acquisti et al., 2015). The balance between perceived benefits and potential risks is crucial in shaping overall consumer attitudes toward AI in online shopping.
3. **Trust in AI Systems**
   Trust is a critical factor determining the acceptance and sustained use of AI-based recommendation systems. Research has shown that transparency in how recommendations are generated, the reliability and consistency of the suggestions, and the perceived fairness of the underlying algorithms all play significant roles in establishing trust (Shin, 2021). When consumers understand the logic behind recommendations and perceive the system as unbiased and dependable, their trust increases. Additionally, positive prior experiences with AI recommendations reinforce trust, whereas negative encounters, such as receiving irrelevant suggestions or experiencing privacy breaches, can quickly erode it (Sundar, 2020). Therefore, fostering trust requires not only technical reliability but also clear communication and ethical handling of consumer data.
4. **Research Gap**
   Although several studies have examined the technical aspects of AI recommendation systems, there is a paucity of primary data research focusing on consumer trust and perception, particularly within the Indian context. This study addresses this gap by providing empirical insights based on primary data collection.

Objectives:

1. To assess consumer perceptions of AI-based product recommendations in online shopping.
2. To measure the level of trust consumers place in AI-driven recommendations.
3. To identify factors influencing trust and acceptance of AI recommendations.
4. To provide actionable insights for e-commerce platforms to enhance consumer trust.

Hypotheses

1. **H1:** There is a significant positive relationship between the perceived usefulness of AI-based product recommendations and consumer trust in online shopping platforms.
2. **H2:** Greater transparency about how AI recommendations are generated leads to higher consumer trust in online shopping platforms.
3. **H3:** Concerns about data privacy negatively affect consumer trust in AI-based product recommendations.
4. **H4:** Positive prior experiences with AI-based recommendations increase consumer trust in such systems.
5. **H5:** The relevance of AI-based product recommendations positively influences consumer perceptions of convenience and satisfaction with online shopping.

Research Methodology

- **Research Design**
  A descriptive research design was adopted to explore consumer perceptions and trust toward AI-based product recommendations. The study utilized a quantitative approach, collecting primary data through a structured questionnaire.
- **Sampling**
  The target population consisted of Indian consumers who have shopped online at least once in the past six months. A purposive sampling technique was used to ensure participants had recent exposure to AI-driven recommendations. A total of 400 responses were received.
- **Data Collection**
  Data were collected using a self-administered online questionnaire distributed via email and social media platforms. The questionnaire comprised closed-ended questions and Likert-scale items measuring perceptions, trust, and influencing factors.
- **Instrumentation**
  The questionnaire was developed based on validated scales from prior research (Shin, 2021; Gursoy et al., 2019). It included sections on demographic information, frequency of online shopping, usage of recommendation systems, perceptions, trust, and influencing factors.
- **Data Analysis**
  Responses were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression analysis with SPSS software. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, and factor analysis was conducted to identify key dimensions of trust and perception.
- **Ethical Considerations**
  The study adhered to ethical guidelines, ensuring voluntary participation, informed consent, and anonymity of respondents. Data were used solely for academic purposes.

Results

- **Demographic Profile**
  The study surveyed 400 online shoppers in India. Among the respondents, 56% identified as female and 44% as male. The largest age group comprised individuals aged 18–25 years (43%), followed by those aged 26–35 years (29%), 36–45 years (16%), and above 45 years (12%). In terms of educational background, most participants were graduates (57%), followed by postgraduates (32%), with the remaining 11% categorized as other educational qualifications. Regarding the frequency of online shopping, 37% reported shopping online weekly, 45% shopped monthly, and 18% engaged in online shopping less than monthly.
- **Usage of AI-Based Recommendations**
  A substantial majority (92%) of respondents indicated that they noticed AI-driven product recommendations during their online shopping experiences. Furthermore, 68% reported that they had made at least one purchase based on these recommendations within the past six months, demonstrating significant engagement with AI-powered suggestion systems.
- **Perceptions of AI-Based Recommendations**
  The findings revealed that 73% of participants agreed that AI recommendations enhanced the convenience of their shopping experience, while 63% found the recommendations to be relevant to their personal needs. Additionally, 59% believed that these recommendations helped them save time during the shopping process. However, privacy concerns were prominent, with 49% expressing apprehension regarding the safety of their personal data. Moreover, 32% felt that AI recommendations were at times intrusive or irrelevant, highlighting on-going challenges for platforms in maintaining balance between personalization and privacy.
- **Trust in AI Recommendations**
  When asked about trust, 61% of respondents reported moderate to high levels of trust in AI-based recommendations, whereas 20% indicated low trust, primarily due to concerns about data usage and lack of transparency. Notably, trust was found to be significantly correlated with both perceived usefulness ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.01$) and prior positive experiences with recommendations ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.01$), underscoring the importance of value and experience in fostering consumer trust.
- **Factors Influencing Trust**
  Regression analysis identified perceived usefulness ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < 0.01$), transparency ($\beta = 0.28$, $p < 0.01$), and prior positive experience ($\beta = 0.25$, $p < 0.01$) as significant predictors of trust.

Table 1: *Regression Analysis of Factors Influencing Trust in AI Recommendations*

Factor	Beta (β)	Significance (p)
Perceived Usefulness	0.42	<0.01
Transparency	0.28	<0.01
Positive Experience	0.25	<0.01

Factor	Beta (β)	Significance (p)
Data Privacy Concern	-0.19	0.03

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

H1: There is a significant positive relationship between the perceived usefulness of AI-based product recommendations and consumer trust in online shopping platforms.

Result:

Pearson's correlation analysis demonstrated a strong, positive relationship between perceived usefulness and consumer trust in AI-based product recommendations, $r(398) = .61$, $p < .01$. This finding supports Hypothesis 1, indicating that as consumers perceive AI recommendations to be more useful, their trust in these systems increases correspondingly.

Hypothesis 2

H2: Greater transparency about how AI recommendations are generated leads to higher consumer trust in online shopping platforms.

Result:

A significant positive correlation was found between perceived transparency and consumer trust, $r(398) = .48$, $p < .01$. This result supports Hypothesis 2 and suggests that consumers who perceive the recommendation algorithms as transparent are more likely to trust their suggestions.

Hypothesis 3

H3: Concerns about data privacy negatively affect consumer trust in AI-based product recommendations.

Result:

Analysis revealed a significant negative correlation between data privacy concerns and consumer trust, $r(398) = –.36$, $p < .01$. This provides support for Hypothesis 3, suggesting that higher levels of concern regarding data privacy are associated with lower levels of trust in AI-based recommendation systems.

Hypothesis 4

H4: Positive prior experiences with AI-based recommendations increase consumer trust in such systems.

Result:

There was a significant positive correlation between positive prior experiences and consumer trust in AI-based recommendations, $r(398) = .54$, $p < .01$. This finding supports Hypothesis 4, indicating that favorable past interactions with recommendation systems enhance trust.

Hypothesis 5

H5: The relevance of AI-based product recommendations positively influences consumer perceptions of convenience and satisfaction with online shopping.

Result:

Perceived relevance of recommendations was found to be positively correlated with both convenience ($r = .57$, $p < .01$) and satisfaction ($r = .62$, $p < .01$). These results provide evidence in favor of Hypothesis 5, demonstrating that relevant recommendations contribute to a more convenient and satisfying shopping experience.

Summary Table

Hypothesis	Relationship Tested	r	p-value	Supported
H1	Usefulness ↔ Trust	0.61	<0.01	Yes
H2	Transparency ↔ Trust	0.48	<0.01	Yes
H3	Privacy Concern ↔ Trust	–0.36	<0.01	Yes
H4	Positive Experience ↔ Trust	0.54	<0.01	Yes
H5	Relevance ↔ Convenience/Satisfaction	0.57/0.62	<0.01	Yes

Regression Analysis Summary Table

To further substantiate the findings, a multiple regression analysis was conducted with trust as the dependent variable and perceived usefulness, transparency, data privacy concern, and prior positive experience as independent variables.

Predictor	Beta (β)	Significance (p)
Perceived Usefulness	0.42	<0.01
Transparency	0.28	<0.01
Positive Experience	0.25	<0.01
Data Privacy Concern	-0.19	0.03

Interpretation:

All predictors were significant, with perceived usefulness showing the strongest positive effect on trust, followed by transparency and positive experience. Data privacy concern had a significant negative effect.

Pearson's correlation revealed a significant positive relationship between perceived usefulness and trust, $r(398) = .61$, $p < .01$, supporting H1. Transparency was also significantly correlated with trust, $r(398) = .48$, $p < .01$, supporting H2. A significant negative relationship was observed between data privacy concern and trust, $r(398) = -.36$, $p < .01$, supporting H3. Positive prior experiences were positively associated with trust, $r(398) = .54$, $p < .01$, supporting H4. Furthermore, the relevance of recommendations was positively correlated with convenience, $r(398) = .57$, $p < .01$, and satisfaction, $r(398) = .62$, $p < .01$, supporting H5.

All hypotheses (H1–H5) were statistically supported by the data, confirming the significant roles of perceived usefulness, transparency, data privacy, prior positive experiences, and recommendation relevance in shaping consumer trust and satisfaction with AI-based product recommendations in online shopping platforms.

Discussion

The findings of this study contribute to a nuanced understanding of how consumers in India perceive and trust AI-based product recommendation systems in online shopping platforms. Consistent with prior research (Jannach et al., 2021; Ricci et al., 2015), perceived usefulness emerged as a strong determinant of consumer trust. The significant positive relationship observed ($r = .61$, $p < .01$) indicates that when AI recommendations are perceived as genuinely helpful and tailored, consumers are more likely to place trust in the system. This underscores the need for e-commerce platforms to continuously refine their recommendation algorithms to enhance utility and relevance.

Transparency also played a meaningful role in shaping trust ($r = .48$, $p < .01$). When consumers understood how recommendations were formulated, their confidence in the platform increased. This finding supports calls for greater algorithmic transparency in AI applications, suggesting that platforms should provide clear, accessible information about how user data informs recommendations.

In contrast, concerns about data privacy were negatively associated with trust ($r = –.36$, $p < .01$), aligning with the literature on privacy concerns in digital environments (Acquisti et al., 2015). As the collection and use of personal data remain central to AI operations, addressing privacy apprehensions through robust security measures and transparent data policies is essential for sustaining consumer trust.

Furthermore, positive prior experiences with AI recommendations significantly increased trust ($r = .54$, $p < .01$), highlighting the importance of delivering consistent, high-quality user interactions. Finally, the relevance of recommendations was closely linked to greater convenience ($r = .57$, $p < .01$) and satisfaction ($r = .62$, $p < .01$), demonstrating the multifaceted benefits of well-calibrated AI systems for both consumers and platforms.

Taken together, these results suggest that usefulness, transparency, and positive experiences can reinforce trust, while privacy concerns present an ongoing challenge. The implications for online retailers are clear: investing in explainable AI, prioritizing user privacy, and continually refining recommendation quality are key strategies for fostering trust and satisfaction.

Conclusion

This research provides empirical support for the significant role of AI-driven product recommendations in shaping consumer trust and perception within India's rapidly growing e-commerce sector. The study's hypotheses were validated, demonstrating that perceived usefulness, transparency, and positive prior experiences are essential drivers of trust in AI systems, while concerns about data privacy can undermine it. Furthermore, the relevance of recommendations enhances both convenience and overall satisfaction for online shoppers.

For e-commerce platforms, these insights highlight the importance of balancing personalization with privacy, ensuring transparency in algorithmic operations, and delivering consistently positive user experiences. Addressing these factors is critical not only for gaining consumer trust but also for achieving sustained engagement and competitive advantage in the digital marketplace.

Future research could further explore these dynamics using longitudinal or experimental designs, and examine whether similar patterns hold in other cultural contexts. Nonetheless, this study offers valuable guidance for practitioners and researchers seeking to understand and optimize consumer interactions with AI in online retail environments.

Implications and Recommendations

The findings of this study provide several actionable implications for e-commerce platforms seeking to optimize AI-based product recommendations. First, it is essential for platforms to enhance transparency by clearly communicating how AI systems operate and how consumer data are utilized in generating recommendations. Such openness can foster greater consumer trust and mitigate apprehensions regarding algorithmic decision-making. Second, improving personalization through continuous refinement of recommendation algorithms is vital, as greater relevance has been shown to substantially increase perceived usefulness and trust. Addressing privacy

concerns remains a critical priority; platforms should implement robust data protection measures and empower users with control over their personal information to alleviate fears of misuse. Finally, actively soliciting and integrating user feedback can help refine recommendation systems, ensuring responsiveness to consumer preferences and reinforcing positive prior experiences. These strategies align closely with the empirical evidence presented, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach that values both personalization and privacy.

Limitations and Future Research

Despite its contributions, this study is subject to several limitations. The sample was restricted to Indian online shoppers, which may limit the generalizability of the results to other cultural or regional contexts. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data introduces the possibility of response bias, as participants' perceptions and recollections may not always accurately reflect actual behavior. Future research should consider employing qualitative methods, such as in-depth interviews or focus groups, to gain richer insights into consumer attitudes and behaviors. Experimental or longitudinal studies could also be valuable in exploring causal relationships and changes in trust over time. Expanding the scope to include diverse geographical regions and varying demographic profiles would further enhance the understanding of consumer trust and perception in AI-driven online shopping environments.

Acknowledgment

I express my sincere gratitude to Chatapati Shivaji Night College of Arts & Commerce for providing the academic environment and institutional support necessary to carry out this research work titled *"Consumer Perceptions and Trust Toward AI-Based Product Recommendations in Online Shopping Platforms: A Primary Data Study."*

I am deeply indebted to my research guide, Prof. Dr. Barbole Anil, for his valuable guidance, insightful suggestions, and continuous encouragement throughout the completion of this study. His academic expertise and constructive feedback greatly enhanced the quality of this research.

I also extend my sincere thanks to Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar University for providing the academic framework and research support essential for this work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

References

1. Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2015). Privacy and human behavior in the age of information. *Science*, 347(6221), 509-514.
2. Benbasat, I., & Wang, W. (2005). Trust in and adoption of online recommendation agents. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 6(3), 72-101.
3. Gursoy, D., Chi, O. H., Lu, L., & Nunkoo, R. (2019). Consumers acceptance of artificially intelligent (AI) device use in service delivery. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49, 157–169.
4. Jannach, D., Zanker, M., Felfernig, A., & Friedrich, G. (2021). *Recommender Systems: An Introduction* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
5. Ricci, F., Rokach, L., & Shapira, B. (2015). Recommender systems: Introduction and challenges. In *Recommender Systems Handbook* (pp. 1-34). Springer.
6. Shin, D. (2021). The effects of explainability and causability on trust in AI recommendation systems. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 37(13), 1281–1294.
7. Sundar, S. S. (2020). Rise of machine agency: A framework for studying the psychology of human–AI interaction (HAII). *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 25(1), 74-88.
8. Chen, Y., & Xie, K. L. (2021). Online recommendation systems and consumer choice: The role of personalization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 115, 106621.
9. Xu, H., Teo, H. H., Tan, B. C. Y., & Agarwal, R. (2012). Effects of individual self-protection, industry self-regulation, and government regulation on privacy concerns: A study of location-based services. *Information Technology and Management*, 13(1), 35-45.
10. Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 51-90.
11. Komiak, S. Y. X., & Benbasat, I. (2006). The effects of personalization and familiarity on trust and adoption of recommendation agents. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(4), 941-960.
12. Resnick, P., & Varian, H. R. (1997). Recommender systems. *Communications of the ACM*, 40(3), 56-58.
13. Zhang, K. Z. K., Zhao, S. J., & Chen, C. (2020). Examining the influence of online reviews and trust on consumer purchase intention in e-commerce. *Internet Research*, 30(3), 522-545.
14. Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model.

International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 7(3), 101-134.

15. Sinha, R., & Swearingen, K. (2002). Comparing recommendation algorithms through user studies. In *Proceedings of the 2002 ACM Conference on Recommender Systems* (pp. 285-289).
16. Wang, Y., & Emurian, H. H. (2005). Trust in e-commerce: Considerations for designers and developers. *Interacting with Computers*, 17(1), 15-27.
17. Wang, W., & Benbasat, I. (2007). Recommendation agents for electronic commerce: Effects of explanation facilities on trust and perceived usefulness. *Information Systems Research*, 18(3), 429-447.
18. Tussyadiah, I. P., & Park, S. (2018). Consumer evaluation of AI service robots in hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 30(1), 140-157.
19. Lee, J., & Choi, J. (2017). Enhancing consumer trust in online shopping through effective information design. *Online Information Review*, 41(2), 173-187.
20. Lankton, N. K., McKnight, D. H., & Tripp, J. (2015). A longitudinal study of privacy and security perceptions in e-commerce. *Information & Management*, 52(7), 810-821.
21. Montaner, M., López, B., & de la Rosa, J. L. (2003). A taxonomy of recommender agents on the internet. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 19(4), 285-330.
22. Thongpapanl, N., & Ashraf, M. (2011). Enhancing online trust: The role of social media and user-generated content. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(11), 1158-1165.
23. Tam, K. Y., & Ho, S. Y. (2005). Web personalization as a persuasion strategy: An experimental investigation of its effectiveness. *Information Systems Research*, 16(2), 163-178.
24. Pal, R., & Choudhury, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence in Indian retail: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 48(6), 599-613.
25. Zeng, F., Huang, L., & Dou, W. (2009). Social factors in user perceptions and responses to advertising in online social networking communities. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 10(1), 1-13.
26. Lin, H. F. (2007). Predicting consumer intentions to shop online: An empirical test of competing theories. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 6(4), 433-442.
27. Ho, S. Y., & Tam, K. Y. (2005). An empirical examination of the effects of web personalization in the context of e-commerce. *Communications of the ACM*, 48(9), 56-61.
28. Akter, S., Bandara, R., Hossain, M. M., Wamba, S. F., & Foroughi, B. (2019). Analytics-based decision-making for service systems: A quantitative review and future directions. *Information & Management*, 56(5), 653-672.
29. Wu, J., & Wang, Y. (2019). Trust, perceived risk, and acceptance of intelligent recommendation systems: An empirical study. *Electronic Markets*, 29(3), 275-286.
30. Liu, X., Burns, A. C., & Hou, Y. (2017). Online shopping decision-making: The role of trust and perceived risk. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce Studies*, 8(2), 125-142.