

Manuscript ID:
IJEBAMPSR-2026-030102

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Month: February

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9140

Submitted: 05- Jan.-2026

Revised: 12 -Jan.-2026

Accepted: 15- Feb.-2026

Published: 28-Feb.-2026

Address for correspondence:
Dr. Subhash Jagannath Deshmukh
Associate Professor, HOD of
Economics (UG/PG), In Charge
Faculty of Commerce
Email:
sjdeshmukh765@gmail.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18277607](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18277607)

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18277607>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to Cite this Article:

Deshmukh, S. J., & Lavande, M. V. (2026). Labour Market Disruption and Gig Economy. *International Journal of Economic, Business, Accounting, Agriculture and Management Towards Paradigm Shift in Research*, 3(1), 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18277607>

Labour Market Disruption and Gig Economy

Dr. Subhash Jagannath Deshmukh¹ Mahesh Vitthal Lawande²

¹Associate Professor, HOD of Economics (UG/PG), In Charge Faculty of Commerce

²Department of Economics, Dadapatil Rajale Arts and Science College Adinathnagar, Tal: Pathardi, Dist.: Ahilyanagar.

Abstract

Youth inactivity remains an insistent global challenge, strengthened by uncertainty surrounding the rapid growth of digital and gig economies. As governments increasingly seek to regulate gig work, its implications for youth unemployment remain ambiguous. This study addresses this gap by examining the optimal level of labour regulation that enables the gig economy to effectively reduce youth unemployment across countries from diverse geographical regions. Using panel data from 79 countries covering the period 2017–2021, the study employs a Dynamic Panel Threshold Model (DPTM) to estimate the level of labour regulation at which the gig economy's employment effects are maximized. The results reveal the existence of a non-linear connection between labour regulation and youth unemployment. Specifically, the gig economy contributes most effectively to reducing youth redundancy when labour regulation reaches a threshold of 53.5596 on a 100-point scale. Principles that are excessively stringent tend to constrain job creation and limit labour market entry for young people, thereby exacerbating unemployment. Conversely, overly lax regulatory environments increase the risk of worker exploitation, job insecurity, and deteriorating working conditions, which can also undermine sustainable youth employment. These findings underscore the importance of accepting a balanced regulatory approach. Policy recommendations include implementing moderate labour regulations that align key protections in the gig economy with those of traditional labour markets, strengthening cyber security and data protection frameworks, and promoting co-working spaces to mitigate social isolation among young gig workers. Furthermore, the study highlights the need for trans boundary labour regulations to protect gig workers operating across national borders. Overall, carefully calibrated labour guideline is essential to harness the gig economy's potential as a viable tool for reducing youth unemployment.

Keyword's: Gig Economy, Labour Market Disruption, Youth Unemployment, Labour Regulation, Digital Labour Platforms, Worker Classification, Employment Protection, Algorithmic Governance, Social Security, Labour Economics

Introduction:

Labour market disruption by the gig economy involves technological advances enabling flexible, platform-based work, which challenges traditional employment models by creating new categories of "independent contractors" and "partners" rather than employees. This shift requires new gig economy regulation focused on providing social security, ensuring fair wages, addressing algorithmic governance, protecting workers from precocity, and balancing flexibility with essential worker protections to create a sustainable and equitable gig work environment.

The Disruptive Impact:

- **Altered Labour Relations:** The rise of digital platforms has blurred the lines of traditional employer-employee relationships, with gig workers often classified as independent contractors, thus lacking formal employment benefits.
- **New Job Structures:** The gig economy fosters short-term, on-demand work, replacing traditional, long-term commitments and creating new employment trends and conditions.
- **Economic & Social Implications:** While it offers autonomy, multiple income streams, and economic resilience, the gig economy also presents challenges like income instability, lack of job security, health risks, and potential for exploitation.

- **Algorithmic Governance:** Opaque algorithms that control task allocation and pay raise concerns about transparency, fairness, algorithmic bias, and potential exploitation, especially for vulnerable workers.

Key Regulatory Challenges: - is as bellows.

a. Worker Classification:

Distinguishing between employees and independent contractors is difficult, but essential for determining access to social security, minimum wages, and other employment rights.

b. Social Security & Benefits:

Gig workers often lack access to crucial benefits like health insurance, paid leave, and retirement funds, leading to increased financial insecurity. **Algorithmic Transparency & Accountability:** There's a pressing need for transparency in how platform algorithms operate, with campaigners advocating for disclosure on job allocation and pay determination.

c. Preventing Exploitation: Regulations must prevent a "race to the bottom" by ensuring fair wages, safe working conditions, and protection against unpredictable earnings and job precocity.

d. Digital Labour Platform: Digital Labour platform: digital Labour platform connects clients with workers for tasks, often "gig" or "platform work," using digital tech like apps (e.g., Uber, Upwork) and creating opportunities but also challenges like precarious work and algorithmic management, affecting worker well-being and requiring new regulations for fair work and competition

e. Labour Market Disruption: Labour market disruption refers to major changes in the way jobs are created, performed, or lost due to factors such as technological innovation, automation, digital platforms, globalization, or economic crises. These disruptions can lead to job displacement, creation of newtypes of work, and changes in skill requirements.

f. Worker Classification: Workers' classification means the legal and economic categorization of workers based on their employment relationship. Common categories include permanent employees, temporary workers, contract workers, self-employed persons, and gig workers. This classification determines workers' rights, wages, social security, and legal protections.

g. Employment Protection: Employment protection refers to laws, policies, and regulations designed to safeguard workers from unfair dismissal, job insecurity, and exploitation. It includes provisions related to job contracts, termination rules, severance pay,

working conditions, and social security benefits.

Approaches to Regulation: is as bellows.

a. Legal Reforms: Implementing laws like the Digital Platform Workers' Rights Act in Ontario, Canada, or new labour codes in India, aims to define gig workers' rights and provide crucial protections.

b. Welfare Frameworks: Initiatives like the Rajasthan Platform Based Gig Workers Welfare Board in India are established to create welfare funds for gig workers through a welfare cess paid by aggregators.

c. Clearer Definitions: Adopting a presumption of employment, such as the 'ABC' test used in some regions, which assumes workers are employees unless their work clearly meets independent contractor criteria.

h. Collaborative Efforts: Requiring collaboration between governments, platforms, and worker representatives is crucial for developing thoughtful policies that ensure fairness, flexibility, and security for gig workers.

Conclusion:

Labour economics provides a useful framework for analyzing the structural changes introduced by the gig economy, particularly in how labour supply, labour demand, and institutional arrangements interact. In contemporary labour markets, workers allocate their time and skills across various employment opportunities in pursuit of income, flexibility, and job satisfaction, while firms seek cost efficiency, adaptability, and productivity. The emergence of platform-mediated work has altered this interaction by weakening long-term employment relationships and expanding non-standard forms of work.

Human capital remains a central determinant of labour market outcomes, as workers with higher levels of education, digital skills, and adaptability tend to experience better earning prospects and employment continuity within the gig economy. At the same time, technological change has accelerated job polarization, increasing demand for both high-skilled digital tasks and low-skilled service work, while reducing opportunities in routine occupations. This shift places greater pressure on education systems, reskilling initiatives, and active labour market policies to facilitate smooth job transitions.

Government intervention continues to play a crucial role in shaping labour market outcomes through taxation, regulation, social protection, and employment policies. In the context of the gig economy, the challenge lies in maintaining labour market flexibility without compromising fundamental worker protections. Effective labour market governance therefore requires a coordinated

approach that integrates skill development, employment services, and social security mechanisms, with particular attention to vulnerable groups such as youth and informal workers. Ultimately, labour economics seeks to inform policy frameworks that promote productive employment, income security, and social equity in an evolving world of work.

Gig Economy:

The gig economy refers to a labour market arrangement in which work is organized around short-term assignments, project-based tasks, or on-demand services, primarily facilitated through digital platforms. Unlike traditional employment relationships characterized by permanence and fixed working hours, gig work offers greater flexibility in terms of time, location, and task selection. Digital platforms act as intermediaries that match service providers with consumers across a wide range of activities, including transportation, delivery services, creative work, and information technology. This model provides income-generating opportunities for workers seeking flexible employment arrangements and enables firms to respond quickly to fluctuating demand without incurring long-term labour costs. However, the absence of standard employment contracts often limits access to employment benefits such as health insurance, paid leave, and retirement security. As a result, gig workers are more exposed to income volatility, employment uncertainty, and weak bargaining power. The gig economy thus represents a dual reality: it expands labour market participation and entrepreneurial opportunities, while simultaneously raising concerns related to worker protection, fair remuneration, and social security coverage. These features have made the gig economy a central issue in contemporary debates on labour regulation, digital governance, and inclusive economic growth.

Meaning of the Gig Economy:

The gig economy represents a growing segment of the digital economy considered by short-term, task-based, and freelance employment arrangements facilitated largely through online platforms. This form of employment has transformed traditional labour market structures by offering flexibility while simultaneously raising concerns related to job security, income stability, and worker protections. In the context of rising youth unemployment and rapid technological advancement, the gig economy has emerged as both an opportunity and a challenge for modern labour markets.

This study seeks to deepen understanding of the gig economy by examining its implications for labour market dynamics and public policy. Using a rigorous quantitative framework—including dynamic panel analysis and threshold modelling—the research evaluates how gig-based

employment influences economic outcomes, income distribution, and workforce well-being. The methodological approach allows for a systematic assessment of the economic, social, and regulatory dimensions associated with gig work. Empirical findings indicate that while the gig economy provides income-generating opportunities and flexible work arrangements, it also contributes to income disparities among workers. Lower-income gig workers often face earnings volatility and limited access to social protections. Accordingly, it is essential for policymakers, digital platforms, and businesses to develop targeted strategies aimed at reducing income inequality and improving earning potential for vulnerable gig workers.

Worker well-being is closely linked to both income adequacy and work–life balance. Evidence suggests that gig workers place high value on flexible schedules alongside fair compensation. Policymakers should therefore consider frameworks that ensure equitable pay standards, while platforms and employers can support work–life balance through adaptable work arrangements. Furthermore, employment classification remains a critical issue, as it directly affects workers' legal rights, benefits, and overall welfare within the gig economy.

Purpose and Objectives:

The primary purpose of this study is to examine the economic and social consequences of the gig economy and its influence on contemporary labour markets. Understanding these effects is essential for evaluating how gig-based employment shapes economic growth, income inequality, employment stability, and social well-being. By employing quantitative analysis, the study enables policymakers to systematically measure these impacts and formulate evidence-based regulations that promote economic resilience while safeguarding workers' rights. A key objective of the research is to assess worker welfare within the gig economy by analyzing income disparities, job security, and access to employment benefits. This evaluation helps identify the structural challenges faced by gig workers and highlights potential policy interventions to improve their working conditions. The findings are particularly relevant in addressing gaps in social protection systems that traditionally favor standard employment relationships. From a policy perspective, governments and regulatory authorities face the complex task of adapting labour laws to accommodate the evolving characteristics of gig work. This research contributes to policy development by quantifying the scale and direction of changes occurring within the labour market and offering insights into appropriate regulatory responses. Additionally, the study examines how the expansion of the gig economy affects traditional industries, providing valuable guidance

for firms seeking to remain competitive in a rapidly changing employment landscape.

Academically, the study adds to existing literature by delivering empirical, data-driven evidence on the relationship between the gig economy and labour market outcomes. These findings not only enrich scholarly discourse but also establish a foundation for future research in labour economics and digital employment studies. In conclusion, the gig economy has become an integral component of the modern labour market with wide-ranging implications. This research aims to enhance understanding of its significance, challenges, and opportunities, while offering practical recommendations for policymakers, businesses, and workers. Through a robust quantitative approach, the study supports informed decision-making and contributes to the development of inclusive and sustainable labour market policies.

Gig Economy and labour market:

As above, the prevalence of temporary and flexible labour arrangements supported by digital platforms has significantly increased the gig economy in the twenty-first century. The reconfiguration of work organization and execution has had significant ramifications for the conventional Labour market (Vuckovich et al., 2023; Flanagan, 2019). The gig economy exhibits a lack of confinement to any particular business, as it extends its reach across diverse sectors such as transportation (e.g., Uber and Lyft for ride-sharing services), housing (e.g., Airbnb), freelance employment (e.g., Upwork and Fiverr), and numerous others (Vuckovich et al., 2023). The transformation in employment arrangements has been propelled by a confluence of variables, including technological progress, shifting worker inclinations, and evolving corporate paradigms (Abraham et al., 2018;). The increased prevalence of cell phones, high-speed internet, and digital platforms has facilitated more accessibility and engagement in gig employment for individuals. There has been a growing inclination among workers, particularly those belonging to younger cohorts, to pursue employment that offers greater flexibility, autonomy, and diversity.

Conclusion:

The gig economy represents a structural transformation rather than a temporary deviation from traditional employment models. Driven by digital platforms, technological innovation, and changing worker preferences, gig work has become an integral component of modern labour markets. While it offers flexibility, autonomy, and new income opportunities for workers, it also introduces challenges related to job insecurity, irregular earnings, and limited access to social protection. For firms, the gig economy provides operational

agility and cost efficiency, but it also raises ethical and regulatory responsibilities. The long-term sustainability of the gig economy depends on achieving an appropriate balance between labour market flexibility and worker security. Excessively restrictive regulations may suppress innovation and employment generation, whereas weak regulatory frameworks risk perpetuating precarious work and social inequality. Policies such as portable benefits, minimum earnings guarantee, transparent algorithmic management, and effective grievance redressal mechanisms can help bridge this gap without undermining the advantages of platform-based work.

From a labour market perspective, the gig economy underscores the growing importance of continuous skill development, financial resilience, and adaptability among workers. Governments, businesses, and digital platforms must collaborate to create inclusive institutional frameworks that integrate technological progress with robust social safety nets. By aligning regulatory innovation with labour market realities, the gig economy can evolve into a more equitable and productive system that supports economic growth, decent work, and social stability, particularly for younger and vulnerable segments of the workforce.

For the gig economy to thrive long-term, it must evolve into a more balanced system that provides both independence and security, integrating technological benefits with robust social safety nets.

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely acknowledge the support and encouragement received from the management and administration of Dadapatil Rajale Arts and Science College, Adinathnagar, Taluka Pathardi, District Ahilyanagar. We express our gratitude to the Department of Economics for providing a conducive academic environment and necessary resources for conducting this research. We are also thankful to colleagues and peers for their constructive suggestions and academic discussions, which significantly contributed to the improvement of this work. Finally, we acknowledge all researchers and institutions whose scholarly contributions have enriched the present study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Work Cited:

1. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Glossary:Labour_market
2. <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/l/labor-market.asp>

3. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uKEfqbnUH2E>
4. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Beginners:Labour_market_-_basic_definitions
5. <https://m.economictimes.com/definition/labour-market>
6. <https://www.bamboohr.com/resources/hr-glossary/labor-market>
7. <https://cleartax.in/glossary/labour-market>
8. <https://skillwork.com/skilled-trades-shortage-myth/>
9. <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/economics/09/education-training-advantages.asp>
10. https://www.kscst.org.in/spp/46_series/46s_sp/01_Seminar_Projects/136_46S_MBA_0
11. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2773067025000366>
12. <https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrias/articles/the-gig-economy-in-india-growth-challenges-and-policy-implications/>
13. <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/5139026.pdf?abstractid=5139026&mirid=1>
14. <https://www.assochem.org/uploads/files/1628143386.pdf>
15. <https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/gig-workers-strike-gig-economy-zomato-blinkit-deepinder-goyal-10456195/>
16. <https://ijsra.net/sites/default/files/IJSRA-2024-1231.pdf>
17. <https://180dcsrcc.in/the-gig-economy-a-disruption-or-a-revolution/>
18. <https://www.vvgnli.gov.in/sites/default/files/173-2025%20Dhanya%20MB.pdf>
19. <https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/gig-work-debate-in-india-10459754/>
20. <https://www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd81097.pdf>