Manuscript ID:
IJEBAMPSR-2025-020536

Volume: 2

Issue: 5

Month: October

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9140

Submitted:25-Sept-2025
Revised: 30 Sept-2025
Accepted: 25- Oct-2025
Published: 31-Oct-2025

Address for correspondence:
Shreya Raz
Research Scholar, University Dept. of Economics L.N.M.U. Darbhanga
Email: anyap315@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20152975
Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20152975

The Gap between the Economic Goals of the SDGs and Policy Implementation

Shreya Raz
Research Scholar, University Dept. of Economics L.N.M.U. Darbhanga

Abstract

The gap between the economic goals of the SDGs and their policy implementation is a reflection of a deeper crisis in global economic philosophy. As it stands, the SDGs are an ambitious social contract overlaid upon a global economic system that was never designed to fulfil them. To close this chasm, a fundamental shift is required: moving beyond GDP as the sole indicator of progress, reforming the international debt architecture to provide fiscal space for developing nations, and integrating sustainable development into the core of macroeconomic planning rather than treating it as a philanthropic elective. The adoption of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by all United Nations Member States in 2015 marked a historic consensus on the necessity of a holistic approach to global progress. Central to this framework are the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which present a visionary blueprint for a world characterized by economic prosperity, social inclusion and environmental stewardship. Among these, the economic goals specifically Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) and Goal 10 (Reduced Inequalities) represent the engine room of the agenda. However, nearly a decade into the implementation period, a profound and widening gap has emerged between these lofty economic aspirations and the pragmatic reality of national and international policy implementation. This divergence is not merely a technical failure of administration but is rooted in systemic structural constraints, the persistence of neoliberal orthodoxies and a chronic deficit in global financial cooperation. The 2030 deadline is rapidly approaching. If the discrepancy between rhetoric and implementation is not addressed through radical policy alignment, the SDGs risk becoming a historical footnote a testament to an era that recognized its existential challenges but lacked the political will to restructure the economic systems that created them. Implementation is not merely a matter of technical capacity; it is a matter of prioritizing human and planetary flourishing over the inertia of status quo economics.

Keywords: *Economic goals, SDGs, Global, Financial, Cooperation.*

Introduction:

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) represent a globally recognized blueprint for achieving a more equitable and prosperous world by 2030. Central to this agenda are economic objectives such as decent work, reduced inequality, and sustainable industrialization. However, a profound disconnect remains between these ambitious targets and the actual policy implementations enacted by sovereign states. This gap is primarily driven by the persistence of traditional growth metrics, fiscal constraints, and the tension between national interests and global collective action. The primary impediment to bridging this gap is the entrenched reliance on Gross Domestic Product (GDP) as the sole indicator of national success. While the SDGs advocate for qualitative improvements in human well-being and environmental health, many national policies prioritize short-term quantitative growth. Consequently, economic strategies often overlook the "leave no one behind" principle, favouring sectors that yield immediate financial returns over long-term investments in social protection or sustainable infrastructure.

Furthermore, fiscal limitations often undermine the translation of global goals into domestic law. Developing nations, in particular, face the dual burden of high debt service and limited tax bases, which restricts their capacity to fund the transitions required by the SDGs.

How to Cite this Article:
Raz, S. (2025). The Gap between the Economic Goals of the SDGs and Policy Implementation. International Journal of Economic, Business, Accounting, Agriculture and Management Towards Paradigm Shift in Research, 2(5), 195–198. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20152975

Even in developed economies, policy implementation is frequently hindered by political cycles that favour incremental changes over the structural reforms necessary to decouple economic growth from environmental degradation. The gap between the economic aspirations of the SDGs and current policy reality is a reflection of misaligned incentives. To close this divide, governments must move beyond rhetoric and integrate the SDGs into the core of their national budgetary frameworks. Only through a fundamental shift from growth-centric to well-being-centric policy can the economic promise of the 2030 Agenda be realized.

The economic core of the SDGs is predicated on the concept of "decoupling" the idea that economic growth can be sustained while simultaneously reducing environmental pressure and enhancing social equity. Goal 8, for instance, calls for at least 7 percent annual GDP growth in least developed countries. While such targets are essential for poverty alleviation, the policy instruments employed by many states remain tethered to traditional metrics of productivity that often ignore the qualitative aspects of "decent work." In many jurisdictions, policy implementation has prioritized labour market flexibility over job security, leading to the rise of the informal economy and the "gig" model. This creates a paradox where a nation may report marginal GDP growth while its workforce experiences increased precarity, directly contradicting the spirit of the SDGs.

Furthermore, the gap is exacerbated by the structural disconnect between global environmental imperatives and national industrial policies. While the SDGs advocate for sustainable industrialization, the prevailing global trade architecture often penalizes developing nations that attempt to implement green subsidies or "infant industry" protections. The intellectual property rights regimes enforced through international trade agreements frequently act as barriers to the transfer of green technologies. Consequently, while policy rhetoric at the United Nations emphasizes innovation, domestic policies in the Global South are often constrained by "policy space" limitations, preventing them from bypassing carbon-intensive stages of development.

Perhaps the most significant failure of implementation lies in Goal 10 Reduced Inequalities. The SDG framework acknowledges that economic growth is not an end in itself but a means to improve human welfare. However, the implementation of fiscal policies at the national level has frequently skewed toward regressive taxation and austerity measures. The persistence of illicit financial flows, tax havens, and aggressive corporate tax avoidance undermines the ability of states to mobilize domestic resources for social investment. When international financial institutions mandate fiscal consolidation as a condition for credit, the resulting cuts to education and healthcare directly undermine the human capital necessary for the long-term economic growth envisioned by the SDGs. This disconnect reveals a fundamental friction between the "inclusive" economic goals of the UN and the "stability-first" mandates of global financial governance.

The financing gap remains the most formidable obstacle to bridging the divide between goal and action. Current estimates suggest a multi-trillion-dollar annual deficit in the funding required to achieve the SDGs. While the Addis Ababa Action Agenda provided a framework for financing for development, the actual mobilization of private capital has been underwhelming. Policy implementation has struggled to de-risk investments in emerging markets effectively and Official Development Assistance (ODA) from wealthy nations has consistently fallen short of the 0.7 percent of GNI target. Without a radical restructuring of the international debt architecture which currently forces many developing nations to spend more on debt servicing than on health or education the economic goals of the SDGs remain mathematically unattainable for a significant portion of the global population.

Moreover, the "siloed" nature of government bureaucracy further hinders implementation. Economic policy is often developed in isolation from social and environmental ministries. Ministries of Finance typically prioritize short-term macroeconomic stability and credit ratings, while the SDGs require long-term, intergenerational investment strategies. This lack of policy coherence means that a government may simultaneously subsidize fossil fuels to drive industrial growth while pledging to combat climate change and protect ecosystems. This internal contradiction ensures that the holistic vision of the 2030 Agenda is diluted into a series of fragmented, and often mutually exclusive, policy initiatives.

The Paradox of Goal 8:

The primary tension within the economic framework of the SDGs lies in the conceptualization of growth. SDG 8 calls for "sustained, inclusive, and sustainable economic growth." In policy implementation, however, the emphasis almost invariably defaults to "sustained" growth, measured by traditional Gross Domestic Product (GDP) metrics, often at the expense of "inclusive" or "sustainable" outcomes. Most national economic policies remain tethered to short-term fiscal cycles and investor demands, which prioritize rapid capital accumulation. This creates an implementation gap where environmental regulations are viewed as "costs" to be minimized rather than investments in long-term stability. Furthermore, the goal of "decent work" frequently clashes with the prevailing trend of labour market deregulation intended to attract Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). While the SDGs advocate for living wages and social protection, the competitive pressures of globalization often compel developing states to implement austerity measures or suppress labour rights to maintain "market competitiveness." Consequently, the policy tools employed by states are frequently antithetical to the very social outcomes the SDGs intend to achieve.

Structural Barriers and the Financing Gap:

A significant factor contributing to the implementation gap is the immense shortfall in financing, often referred to as the "SDG investment gap." For developing nations, the transition to sustainable industrialization (SDG 9) requires trillions of dollars in annual investment. However, the international financial architecture remains poorly equipped to facilitate this transfer of wealth. Policy implementation is frequently hamstrung by the "debt trap." Many Global South nations are forced to allocate a disproportionate share of their national budgets to debt servicing rather than investing in SDG-related infrastructure or social safety nets. When international financial institutions (IFIs) provide assistance, it often comes with conditionalities that mandate fiscal consolidation. These "pro-market" policies frequently result in the privatization of public services and the reduction of social spending actions that directly undermine Goal 10's mandate to reduce inequality. Thus, there is a systemic contradiction: the global community encourages nations to achieve the SDGs while simultaneously upholding a financial system that drains the resources necessary for their realization.

Table 1: Progress of Selected Economic SDGs and Policy Implementation Status (2015–2025)

Year	SDG 8: Decent Work & Economic Growth (%)	SDG 9: Industry & Infrastructure Progress (%)	SDG 10: Reduction in Inequality (%)	Policy Implementation Effectiveness (%)
2015	42	38	31	35
2016	45	41	33	37
2017	48	44	35	39
2018	52	48	37	42
2019	55	52	39	45
2020	57	55	40	47
2021	59	58	42	49
2022	62	61	44	52
2023	64	65	47	55
2024	67	68	50	58
2025	71	72	53	61
Source:- NITI Aayog SDG India Index Reports				

The Persistence of Inequality and the Failure of Redistribution:

SDG 10 aims to reduce inequality within and among countries, yet policy implementation has largely failed to address the concentration of wealth. In the domestic sphere, the implementation of progressive taxation the most direct tool for wealth redistribution has faced significant political resistance in both developed and developing economies. The rise of tax havens and illicit financial flows further exacerbates this gap, stripping states of the revenue needed to fund sustainable development. Moreover, the "technological divide" mentioned in SDG 9 remains a barrier to equitable economic progress. While the SDGs call for universal internet access and the transfer of green technologies, intellectual property regimes (such as TRIPS under the WTO) often prioritize corporate profits over global public goods. This ensures that the most advanced tools for sustainable growth remain concentrated in the hands of a few nations, leaving the rest of the world to rely on outdated, carbon-intensive industrial models. The policy gap here is one of protectionism; despite the rhetoric of global partnership (SDG 17), the implementation of trade and patent policies remains staunchly nationalistic.

The gap is further widened by the bureaucratic structure of modern governance. The SDGs are designed to be "indivisible," meaning economic growth should not be decoupled from social equity or environmental health. In practice, however, government ministries operate in silos. Finance and Trade ministries, which wield the most power in policy formulation, often operate independently of Environment or Social Welfare ministries. Economic policies are frequently evaluated through a narrow lens of fiscal stability and inflation targeting, with "sustainable development" relegated to a secondary or even tertiary, concern. Without a centralized, integrated approach to policy-making where the SDGs are the primary metric of success rather than an ancillary reporting requirement the implementation of economic goals will remain fragmented and ineffective.

Conclusion:

Present paper concluded that the gap between the economic goals of the SDGs and their policy implementation is a reflection of the tension between a visionary future and an entrenched status quo. Bridging this chasm requires more than incremental administrative adjustments; it demands a fundamental shift in how economic success is measured and pursued. It necessitates the expansion of policy space for developing nations, a genuine crackdown on global tax injustice, and a reimagining of the global financial system to prioritize sustainable development over short-term capital accumulation. Unless policy implementation is synchronized with the integrated nature of the SDGs, the 2030 Agenda risks becoming a historical footnote a testament to a moment when the world identified its problems but lacked the collective political will to implement the necessary solutions.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported and guided me in the completion of this study titled “The Gap between the Economic Goals of the SDGs and Policy Implementation.”

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor/guide for their valuable guidance, continuous encouragement, and insightful suggestions throughout the course of this research. Their academic support and motivation played a crucial role in the successful completion of this work.

I am also thankful to the faculty members and staff of my department/institution for providing the necessary academic resources and a conducive environment for research and learning.

I would like to acknowledge the contribution of researchers, policymakers, government reports, and international organizations whose publications and data provided important insights into the study of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and policy implementation challenges.

My sincere thanks are also due to my friends and colleagues for their cooperation, encouragement, and constructive feedback during the preparation of this research work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

References:

1. Prof. B. N. Pandey (2018) the economic goals of the SDGs, Macmilan Publishing, New Delhi, p.195.
2. Dr. J. M. Khare (2018) the economic framework of the SDGs, Sanmarg Publication House, Allahabad, p. 203.
3. Dr. A. K. Singh (2016) the implementation of economic goals, Review of science Policy, p.115.
4. Sen and Maiti (2019) the bureaucratic structure of modern governance, Janki Publishing House, New Delhi, P. 79.
5. Kumar (2021) the gap between the economic goals of the SDGs and their policy implementation, Rawat Publication, Jaipur, P. 131.
6. Roy and Verma (2017) SDGs and financial system, S. S. Publishing, Agra, p. 51