

Manuscript ID:
IJEBAMPSR-2025- 0202039

Volume: 2

Issue: 2

Month: April

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9140

Submitted: 18-Jan-2025
Revised: 23-Feb-2025
Accepted: 26-Mar-2025
Published: 30-Apr-2025

Address for correspondence:
Vishal Ranjan
Research Scholar, UGC-NET/JRF,
University Department of
Commerce and Business
Management, Ranchi University,
Ranchi
Email: jrfvishalranjan@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15834094

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15834094>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to Cite this Article:

Ranjan, V. (2025). *The Influence of Social Media Platforms on Consumer Buying Behavior: A Comprehensive Analysis of Algorithmic and Demographic Factors*. *International Journal of Economics, Business, Accounting, Agriculture and Management Towards Paradigm Shift in Research (IJEBAMPSR)*, 2(2), 202–205. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15834094>

The Influence of Social Media Platforms on Consumer Buying Behavior: A Comprehensive Analysis of Algorithmic and Demographic Factors

Vishal Ranjan

Research Scholar, UGC-NET/JRF, University Department of Commerce and Business Management, Ranchi University, Ranchi

Abstract

This study examines the miscellaneous influence of social media platforms on consumer buying decisions, focusing on algorithmic content curations, demographic variables, and most importantly user-generated contents. By employing a quantitative research design, structured questionnaires were distributed to 144 active social media users of Instagram, and Facebook, to find the relationship between engagement metrics (likes, comments, shares) and buying behavior. Regression analysis and Spearman's rank correlation reveals a statistically significant correlation ($p < 0.05$) between algorithm-driven content personalisation and the buying decisions, with 72% of respondents attributing their purchases to structured social media recommendations and Ads. Demographic analysis highlights distinct behavioral patterns: 62% of millennials reported established brand loyalty due to consistent social media contents engagement, while Generation Z users demonstrated that they are 23% greater responsive to influencer marketing campaigns. The study also found that user-generated contents amplified purchasing decisions by 31%, with suitable reviews directly influences 49% of participants' decisions. These findings provide the information of dual role of social media as both a marketplace and also a trust-building platform, where algorithmic visibility and community-based validation shapes modern consumer behaviour. Practical implications suggest that now the marketers should prioritize hyper-personalized content strategies for each section of consumers while also maintain ethical transparency in algorithmic operations to build and create long-term consumer trust.

Keywords: Social media platform, social media users, personalised content

Introduction

The rapid growth of social media platforms has fundamentally altered the consumer's decision journey, transforming the passive audiences into the active participants within digitally available marketplaces. Where traditional marketing funnels emphasises on linear progression from awareness to purchase, contemporary models must do account for recursive feedback loops where social validation and the algorithmic curation jointly determines the buying outcomes. Over 4.9 billion global social media users, now spends an average of 2.5 hours daily across these platforms, creating unprecedented opportunities for brands to influence their purchasing decisions through targeted engagements.

This paradigm shift necessitates rigorous academic examination of the three interconnected phenomena which are as follows:

1. The operational working mechanics of social media algorithms in filtering and prioritizing the commercial content.
2. The psychological impact of user-generated reviews and influencer endorsements.

3. Demographic variations in platform usage patterns and purchasing emotions.

Prior research provides foundational correlations between social media exposures and consumer's behavior, but a critical gaps persist in understanding how the algorithmic system modulates these relationships across diverse user segments. This study addresses about these gaps through empirical analysis of engagement metrics, demographic variables, and the psychographic factors affecting consumer's purchase intent.

Theoretical Framework and Literature Review Algorithmic Curation as a Behavioral Catalyst

Social media platforms uses machine learning algorithms that analyses user interactions (likes, shares, dwell time) to create the personalised content feeds. These systems prioritise posts with the high engagement potential, creating a self-reinforcing cycle, where popular content gains disproportionate visibility to the audience. Research indicates that products which are algorithmically boosted accounts for 31% of spontaneous purchases, particularly among the users aged between 18–34. The technical architecture of these systems—often opaque to end-users—raises critical questions about autonomy in consumer decision-making processes.

The Credibility Paradox in Influencer Marketing

While the influencer endorsements account for about 49% of purchase decisions among the college-educated demographics, perceived authenticity remains the precarious. A 2024 experimental study demonstrated that the micro-influencers (with 10,000–100,000 followers) achieves 68% higher conversion rates than the macro-influencers, which is attributable to stronger parasocial relationships with the niche audiences. However, algorithmic bias towards high-engagement content frequently promotes influencers who prioritise sensationalism over product quality, creating a dissonance between initial purchasing triggers and the long-term brand satisfaction.

Demographic Fractures in Platform Engagement

Analysis of platform-specific behaviors reveals about stark generational divides:

- Generation Z (18–27 years): 58% of them discover products through Instagram,

Facebook, YouTube, etc valuing the aesthetic presentation and meme culture integration.

- Millennials (28–43 years): 62% of them follow brands directly for updates, prioritising the functional content like product tutorials.
- Generation X (44–59 years): 73% of them trust reviews but exhibit about 40% slower conversion rates than younger cohorts.

These disparities necessitate tailored the content strategies that align with the cohort-specific platforms literacies and value hierarchies.

Research Methodology

Study Design and Participant

A cross-sectional survey was conducted, sampling for 144 active social media users from urban India (n=112) and rural India (n=112). Participants were recruited through known person referrals and college students, requirement was - ≥ 3 hours of daily social media consumption and ≥ 1 monthly online purchase. The sample shown the platform using trends as: 41% Instagram-primary, 33% Facebook-primary, 26% YouTube-primary.

Data Collection Instruments

The 35-item questionnaire measured:

1. **Engagement Intensity:** Frequency of likes/comments/shares (5-point Likert scale)
2. **Algorithm Responsiveness:** Self-reported on impact of recommended posts on purchases.
3. **Demographic Moderators:** Age, gender, education level, disposable income

Analytical Framework

- **Spearman's Rho:** Assessed the monotonic relationships between engagement frequency and purchase frequency.
- **Multivariate Regression:** Modeled the purchasing behavior as a function of algorithmic exposure ($\beta=0.67$, $p=0.003$) and influencer credibility ($\beta=0.42$, $p=0.02$).
- **Chi-square Tests:** Evaluated demographic differences in platform trust levels.

Empirical Findings

Algorithmic Efficacy Across Platforms

Data from Instagram's algorithm shown its superior influence, with 58% of users purchasing at least one product per month suggested by its algorithm, which is significantly higher than the Facebook (39%) and the YouTube (27%) ($\chi^2=16.3$, $p=0.001$). Regression coefficients confirms that each 10% increase in the personalized content ad push results in growth in purchase probably by 6.2 points ($R^2=0.71$), though

diminishing returns emerged beyond the 70% personalisation saturation.

Gender Dynamics in Influencer Impact

The Female participants shown 23% higher engagement to beauty/fashion influencer endorsements compared to male influencers ($t=3.11$, $p=0.002$), aligning with the platform-specific content. However, the male-dominated tech communities on YouTube showed 18% greater reliance on expert reviews over the influencer opinions ($F=4.39$, $p=0.04$).

Income as a Moderating Variable

High-income users shown paradoxical behavior: 61% of them engaged frequently with the luxury brand content but only 29% converted through the social media links, mainly preferring official brand websites for final purchases ($r=0.58$, $p=0.01$). This suggests that algorithmic strategies must account for socioeconomic differences in the platform-to-purchase pathway preferences.

Discussion

The Trust-Algorithm Feedback Loop

The findings are supportive of the rise in a trust-algorithm pairing, whereby people increasingly equate content chosen via platforms with neutral evaluations regarding quality. This particular mental tactic lessens cognitive load when making decisions. It also makes one more susceptible to confirmation bias, especially when it comes to brands that are politically aligned and products that are socially endorsed.

Ethical Implications of Opaque Algorithms

Although content created for an individual can get that individual involved, 44% percent of participants told of discomfort about how platforms thoroughly collect their data. This dissonance in the middle of utility with privacy concerns presents a calculated dilemma for marketers: hyper-personalization increases conversions but risks consumer backlash over perceived surveillance.

Cultural Context in Marketing

The subsample comparison reveals about critical cultural variations:

- Rural user's data shows that consumers show 19% higher confidence on WhatsApp forwarded product recommendations, while,
- Urban user's shows priorities to Instagram Story endorsements ($t=2.89$, $p=0.005$).

Such kind of regional distinctions undermines the one size fits all algorithm approach, necessitating the geocultural calibrations.

Conclusion

This study shows social media platforms as a hybrid space in which algorithmic logic with human psychology builds consumer behavior at the same time. The linear results question straightforward digital marketing cause-effect frameworks, along with revealing a repeating interplay between platform designs, user statistics, as well as cultural settings. For those who practice, the outcomes suggest a measured method using algorithmic personalization and keeping ethical transparency by way of user education efforts. Future studies must examine sustained effects from algorithm literacy programs regarding purchasing independence. Brand trust metrics must also be assessed.

Acknowledgement

I am Vishal Ranjan, Junior Research Fellow, Research Scholar to Dr. Tarun Chakravarty, and HOD Dr. Amar Kumar Choudhary, Ranchi University, Ranchi for granting permission to carry out the work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Aimia Inc. (2024). A study about consumer social media activity patterns released in Journal of Digital Marketing (2024). Journal of Digital Marketing, 18(3), 142-159.
2. Aslam, S., & Jadoon, E. (2019). Social media and consumer decision-making: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Information Management, 47, 219-232.
3. Beauchamp, M. B., & Barnes, D. C. (2023). The impact of algorithmic content presentation on consumer trust formation. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 41(2), 98-113.
4. Deloitte. (2024). Categories on social media gain insights into consumer behaviors which influence what they purchase. Deloitte Digital Consumer Report, 31-47.
5. Facebook Insights. (2024). Millennial digital channel adoption for product discovery. Meta

- Business Research Division Quarterly Report, Q1 2024.
6. Forbes. (2024). Purchasing decisions are largely influenced by social influence power through consumer peer recommendations. Forbes Business Technology Council Survey, March 2024.
 7. Gartner. (2023). User-generated content impact analysis: Millennial consumer trust in stranger recommendations. Gartner Digital Marketing Analytics, 2023(2), 112-134.
 8. Hubspot. (2024). Social media referral conversion metrics: Cross-platform analysis. Hubspot Research Lab White Paper Series on Digital Marketing ROI.
 9. Kontu, H., & Vecchi, A. (2021). Theory of planned behavior and social media consumption: A generational analysis. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 32(1), 176-192.
 10. Ladhari, R., Massa, E., & Skandrani, H. (2020). YouTube vloggers' ability to affect consumer purchasing decisions depends on the establishment of parasocial interaction between them and their audience. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 54, 102-119.
 11. Lopez, C., & Cao, Y. (2019). The combination of recommendation features with similarly-themed content isolation in social media devices. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2848526
 12. Luthresearch. (2010). This article describes the impact of social media on consumer buying decisions. <https://blog.luthresearch.com/how-social-media-influences-purchasing-decisions>
 13. Nosto provides 14 statistics that demonstrate the effect of social media on consumer purchasing habits (2024) <https://www.nosto.com/blog/how-does-social-media-influence-customer-behavior/>
 14. Osei-Frimpong, K., & McLean, G. (2022). Social commerce and consumer engagement: The role of platform features. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 833-844.
 15. Sprout Social. (2024). Social media engagement to conversion metrics. Quarterly Social Index Report, Q1 2024, 18-27.
 16. Stackla. (2023). The behavior of customers who generate content leads them toward discovering brands coupled with their purchasing decisions. Visual Content Marketing Survey, 2023 Annual Report.
 17. Yahaya, R., Hasim, M. A., & Mohtar, M. (2024). Social media influencers use Instagram to mold the behavior patterns of consumers. *Spanish Journal of Marketing – ESIC*. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/sjme-09-2023-0257/full/html>
 18. Zhang, L., & Pfeffer, J. (2023). Algorithmic personalization and consumer choice architecture: Experimental evidence on purchase intent. *Management Science*, 69(8), 4772-4791.
 19. 14 Statistical Facts about Social Media Effects on Online Shopping Activities are Provided at <https://www.nosto.com/blog/how-does-social-media-influence-customer-behavior/>
 20. Curation Algorithms and Filter Bubbles in Social Networks https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2848526
 21. Social media influencers influence consumer purchasing decisions through their Instagram profiles as per the study “Social media stars: how influencers shape consumer’s behavior on Instagram” <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/sjme-09-2023-0257/full/html>.
 22. How Social Media Influences Purchasing Decisions <https://blog.luthresearch.com/how-social-media-influences-purchasing-decisions>
 23. More Accounts, Fewer Links: How Algorithmic Curation Impacts Media Exposure in Twitter Timelines <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3449152>
 24. This Paper Examines the Power of Influencers as They Use Influencer Marketing to Shape Consumer Purchase Intentions <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/13/5471>
 25. This paper evaluates the impact of social media influencers on Pakistani consumer shopping patterns through a systematic empirical study <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/14/6079>
 26. [PDF] The Impact of Curation Algorithms on Social Network Content Quality and Structure | Semantic Scholar <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Impact-of-Curation-Algorithms-on-Social-Network-Berman-Katona/aad0aeb3acef9d6c2345f84f09e22fea8b6af5b6>
 27. The paper Investigating Social Media Algorithm Curated Feeds Through Reddit Popular Feed Auditing provides empirical findings available at <https://arxiv.org/html/2502.20491v1>.